Unitary deformations of counterdiabatic driving

Kazutaka Takahashi
Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
(Dated: April 10, 2015)

We study a deformation of the counterdiabatic-driving Hamiltonian as a systematic strategy for an adiabatic control of quantum states. Using a unitary transformation, we design a convenient form of the driver Hamiltonian. We apply the method to a particle in a confining potential and discrete systems to find explicit forms of the Hamiltonian and discuss the general properties. The method is derived by using the quantum brachistochrone equation, which shows the existence of a nontrivial dynamical invariant in the deformed system.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ca, 03.67.Ac

arXiv:1411.2697v2 [quant-ph] 9 Apr 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

The method "shortcuts to adiabaticity" has attracted much attention recently. A state evolution by the adiabatic process is accelerated by applying the counterdiabatic Hamiltonian and many applications have been discussed [1–5]. From a more fundamental perspective, the method is understood as an optimized protocol [6, 7]. Furthermore, it has been shown to be useful in real experiments [8–11].

Some technical problems arise when we apply the method for a given adiabatic Hamiltonian. First, we need all eigenstates of the Hamiltonian to construct the counterdiabatic term. It is generally a difficult task except the case where the Hamiltonian takes a simple form in a small Hilbert space. Second, even if the counterdiabatic term is found, it involves operators which are difficult to implement in the laboratory.

These problems can be circumvented when we use the invariant-based engineering which is known to be equivalent essentially to the counterdiabatic driving [12]. To find an ideal control, we first specify the form of the invariant instead of preparing the adiabatic Hamiltonian. Thus, the initial setting is different in both methods and the availability depends on the problem to consider. Therefore, it will be useful if we have other choices. As possible solutions of the problems, various methods have been discussed intensively: approximations of the counterdiabatic term by a simple operator [13, 14], unitary transformations of the state [15, 16], modification of the formula using arbitrariness of the counterdiabatic term [17], and use of scale invariance [18–20].

In this paper, we discuss a strategy for constructing a driver Hamiltonian. Using a unitary transformation, we design the counterdiabatic term in a convenient form. The same strategy was used in many works such as Refs. [15, 16, 18, 20]. We go further to obtain a convenient form of the driver Hamiltonian. There is some arbitrariness in choosing the driver Hamiltonian as discussed in Ref. [17] if we control a specific state. We exploit this arbitrariness to find the counterdiabatic potential.

We should also mention a similarity of our method to the fast-forward scaling [21–26] where the state evolution is fast-forwarded by using the time scaling. To find the fast-forward potential, a position-dependent phase is added to the wave function. It is important to notice that the point of the method is not in the scaling but in the addition of the phase. The phase is nothing but a unitary transformation, to be discussed more generally in the present paper. Thus, the same idea is used by many works. Our aim is to combine the ideas used in many contexts and to clarify the general properties of the method.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the basic idea of our method is described. By applying the idea to a particle in a potential in Sec. III and discrete systems in Sec. IV, we discuss general properties of the method. In Sec. V, we formulate the problem using the quantum brachistochrone equation to see the method from a different perspective. Finally, the summary is given in Sec. VI.

II. UNITARY DEFORMATION

We describe our basic idea of the unitary deformation. We start from the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle. \tag{1}$$

For a given time-dependent Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ and an initial condition of state $|\psi(0)\rangle$, $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is obtained by solving the equation. In the method of counterdiabatic driving, the Hamiltonian is divided into two parts:

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}(t) + \hat{H}_{\mathrm{cd}}(t), \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}(t) = \sum_n E_n(t)|n(t)\rangle\langle n(t)|, \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{cd}}(t) = i\sum_{\substack{m,n\\(m\neq n)}} |m(t)\rangle\langle m(t)|\dot{n}(t)\rangle\langle n(t)|, \tag{4}$$

where $E_n(t)$ is an instantaneous eigenvalue of the adiabatic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}(t)$ and $|n(t)\rangle$ is the corresponding eigenstate. The dot denotes the time derivative as $|\dot{n}(t)\rangle = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|n(t)\rangle$. The solution of the Schrödinger equation is given by a linear combination of the adiabatic

states of $\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t)$ defined as

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \exp\left[-i\int_0^t {\rm d}t'\ (E_n(t') - i\langle n(t')|\dot{n}(t')\rangle\right]|n(t)\rangle. \tag{5}$$

This result is very convenient for the ideal control of the system since the deviation from the adiabatic state is prevented by the presence of the counterdiabatic term $\hat{H}_{\rm cd}(t)$.

The assumption here is that the form of $\hat{H}_{\rm cd}(t)$ becomes complicated and is hard to be realized. Although the counterdiabatic term is specified as in Eq. (4), its formal representation based on a spectral decomposition is not a useful one. We introduce a unitary transformation:

$$|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \hat{U}_\psi(t)|\psi(t)\rangle. \tag{6}$$

The Schrödinger equation takes the form

$$i\frac{\rm d}{{\rm d}t}|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \hat{H}_U(t)|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle, \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{H}_U(t) = \hat{U}_\psi(t)\hat{H}(t)\hat{U}_\psi^\dagger(t) - i\hat{U}_\psi(t)\frac{{\rm d}\hat{U}_\psi^\dagger(t)}{{\rm d}t}. \tag{8}$$

We want to find a convenient form of potential term $\hat{V}_\psi(t)$ such that the following relation is satisfied:

$$\hat{H}_U(t)|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = (\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) + \hat{V}_\psi(t))|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle. \tag{9}$$

We note that the equality $\hat{H}_U(t) = \hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) + \hat{V}_\psi(t)$ is not necessarily satisfied. With the potential term, the state follows an adiabatic passage which is different from the original one although the adiabatic Hamiltonian is the same in both the evolutions. In realistic applications developing a specific initial state to a final one, we impose the condition that the unitary operator $\hat{U}_\psi(t)$ goes to zero at initial and final times. We consider such conditions in the following examples.

There is of course a large amount of arbitrariness in the choice of the unitary transformation. Our aim is to establish the general strategy to determine the driving potential $\hat{V}_\psi(t)$. We note that the form of the potential $\hat{V}_\psi(t)$ crucially depends on the state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ to accelerate. If we change the state, the form will be changed accordingly. The original counterdiabatic driving is applied to any state. By abandoning such a universal property, we can use the convenient acceleration potential. However, we show in the following that the potential can be effectively independent of states in some special cases.

III. INFINITE HILBERT SPACE

In this section, we study one-particle systems in a position-dependent potential. The adiabatic Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) = \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}^2}{2m} + U(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}, t). \tag{10}$$

A time dependence is present in the potential function U.

A. One-dimensional system

For one-dimensional bound states in a confining potential $U(\hat{x}, t)$, the wave function is real and one can simplify the formula of the potential. The adiabatic state corresponding to an eigenvalue $E_n(t)$ is represented as

$$\psi_n(x,t) = \exp\left(-i\int_0^t {\rm d}t'\, E_n(t')\right)\varphi_n(x,t), \tag{11}$$

where $\varphi_n(x,t)$ is real. We note that the additional phase due to the parallel transport is absent since $\langle\varphi_n(t)|\dot{\varphi}_n(t)\rangle = 0$.

In this system, the counterdiabatic term depends not only on the position operator $\hat{x}$ but also on the momentum operator $\hat{p}$, which is inconvenient in practical applications. We consider the unitary deformation to control the system by a local potential $V_n(\hat{x}, t)$. We define the new state

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x,t) = {\rm e}^{-i\phi_n(x,t)}\psi_n(x,t), \tag{12}$$

where $\phi_n(x,t)$ is a real function. This new state satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{\psi}_n(x,t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + U(x,t) + V_n(x,t)\right)\tilde{\psi}_n(x,t). \tag{13}$$

Using the properties that ψ_n is factorized as Eq. (11) and $\varphi_n(x,t)$ is real, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial t} + E_n = \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial x}\right)^2 + U + V_n - \frac{1}{2m}\frac{\frac{\partial^2\varphi_n}{\partial x^2}}{\varphi_n}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_n^2}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial x}\varphi_n^2\right). \tag{15}$$

The first equation at the classical limit represents the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the second one is the continuity equation. We note that the role of the additional phase $\phi_n(x,t)$ is to induce a current with the probability density unchanged. The current is exactly equal to zero in the original wave function, which is not appropriate to describe the present dynamical problem.

Since $\varphi_n(x,t)$ represents the eigenstate of the adiabatic Hamiltonian, we have the relation

$$E_n(t) = -\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\frac{\partial^2\varphi_n(x,t)}{\partial x^2}}{\varphi_n(x,t)} + U(x,t). \tag{16}$$

Using this, we can write the potential as

$$V_n(x,t) = \frac{\partial\phi_n(x,t)}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial\phi_n(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)^2. \tag{17}$$

The phase $\phi_n(x,t)$ is determined from the continuity equation as

$$\phi_n(x,t) = m\int_{x^*}^x {\rm d}x_1\,\frac{\int_{x^*}^{x_1}{\rm d}x_2\,\frac{\partial\rho_n(x_2,t)}{\partial t}}{\rho_n(x_1,t)}, \tag{18}$$

where x^* is a reference point and $\rho_n(x,t)=\varphi_n^2(x,t)$ represents the probability density. Thus, once if we know the probability density of the adiabatic state, we can calculate the counterdiabatic potential. We note that it is not necessary to know the form of the counterdiabatic term to find the potential. Therefore, this formula can be a general strategy to obtain the counterdiabatic driving.

The form of the potential depends on the adiabatic state as it is labeled by the index n. We show that it is independent of n for several typical situations. First, we consider the transport dynamics. The potential is given by

$$U(x,t)=U_0(x-x_0(t)), \tag{19}$$

where $x_0(t)$ is a real function and describes the translation of the potential. The probability density takes the form

$$\rho_n(x,t)=f_n(x-x_0(t)). \tag{20}$$

In this case, the phase is obtained from the continuity equation as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(x,t)=&-m\dot{x}_0(t)(x-x_0(t))+m\dot{x}_0(t)(x^*-x_0(t))\\&+m\dot{x}_0(t)f_n(x^*-x_0(t))\int_{x^*}^{x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{f_n(x_1-x_0(t))}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The corresponding potential is calculated from Eq. (17). The result depends on the function f_n, which means that the counterdiabatic potential crucially depends on the state to accelerate. However, the last term in Eq. (21) can be neglected if we set $f_n(x^*-x_0(t))=0$. For bound states, we can set $x^*=\infty$ or $-\infty$. In this case, the second term in Eq. (21) is divergent but it is an irrelevant energy shift and does not affect the adiabatic state. We can use the phase

$$\phi_n(x,t)=-m\dot{x}_0(t)(x-x_0(t)). \tag{22}$$

The potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}V_n(x,t)&=-m\ddot{x}_0(t)(x-x_0(t))+\frac{m}{2}\dot{x}_0^2(t)\\&=-m\ddot{x}_0(t)x+c(t),\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $c(t)$ is an irrelevant function which is proportional to the identity operator. We can conclude that this counterdiabatic potential works for arbitrary bound states $\varphi_n(x,t)$ in the present system with the potential (19).

Second, we consider the case where the potential takes the form

$$U(x,t)=\frac{1}{\xi^2(t)}U_0\left(\frac{x}{\xi(t)}\right). \tag{24}$$

A positive function $\xi(t)$ represents the dilatation. With this potential, the probability density is given by

$$\rho_n(x,t)=\frac{1}{\xi(t)}f_n\left(\frac{x}{\xi(t)}\right), \tag{25}$$

where f is a positive function. Then, the phase is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(x,t)=&-\frac{m}{2}\frac{\dot{\xi}(t)}{\xi(t)}(x^2-x^{*2})\\&+m\dot{\xi}(t)x^*f_n\left(\frac{x^*}{\xi(t)}\right)\int_{x^*/\xi(t)}^{x/\xi(t)}\frac{\mathrm{d}z_1}{f_n\left(z_1\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The last term is neglected if we set $f_n(x^*/\xi(t))=0$. In that case, we obtain the corresponding counterdiabatic potential

$$V_n(x,t)=-\frac{m}{2}\frac{\ddot{\xi}(t)}{\xi(t)}x^2+c(t). \tag{27}$$

The last term represents an irrelevant shift.

The same systems are analyzed in Refs. [18–20] as the scale-invariant driving. A system in a moving harmonic potential is analyzed in Ref. [27]. Our results are consistent with theirs. However, we note that the potential depends on the adiabatic state in principle. The dependence can be included to an irrelevant term in principle but we can consider the state dependent potential by keeping the last term of Eqs. (21) and (26).

Our formulation can also be applied to systems with the Lewis-Leach potential which are generalizations of the above examples [28]. Fast-forwarding of the state was studied in Ref. [24] and we can perform a similar analysis to that system.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the idea of using the unitary transformation is the same as in the fast-forward method [21–26]. However, instead of the time reparametrization, the acceleration of the adiabatic motion is considered in Ref. [24] and in our formulation. Furthermore, we can consider a more generalized form of the unitary transformation which cannot be interpreted as the addition of the phase as in Eq. (12). This transformation is demonstrated in the example of the next section.

B. Generalization

We extend the method to systems in arbitrary dimensions. We write the original adiabatic state as

$$\psi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)=\mathrm{e}^{-i\int_0^t\mathrm{d}t'\,E_n(t')-i\phi_n^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r},t)}\sqrt{\rho_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)}. \tag{28}$$

Introducing the new state $\tilde{\psi}_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)=\mathrm{e}^{-i\phi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)}\psi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$, we obtain the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial\rho_n}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left(\rho_n\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi_n\right), \tag{29}$$

and the potential

$$V_n=\dot{\phi}_n-\frac{1}{2m}\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi_n\right)^2+\dot{\phi}_n^{(0)}-\frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi_n^{(0)}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi_n. \tag{30}$$

We note that the original adiabatic state satisfies the relation

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left(\rho_n\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi_n^{(0)}\right)=0, \tag{31}$$

which means that the original current is in the form of $\boldsymbol{j}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}$.

Once we find the original wave function, we can calculate the potential by solving the above equations. It is interesting to see that the problem of the level degeneracy does not exist in the present method. It was a serious problem of the counterdiabatic driving where the counterdiabatic term (4) goes to infinity at the degenerate point. Instead, the phase can be infinity when the probability density becomes zero at some point. This problem was recognized in the fast-forward method [22, 23, 26].

The form of the counterdiabatic potential depends on the adiabatic state in principle. In the following, we treat several examples to study typical properties of the potential.

C. $1/r$ potential

We study the potential for the hydrogen atom:

$$U(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\frac{1}{m\xi(t)|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_0(t)|}. \tag{32}$$

$\boldsymbol{r}_0(t)$ describes translation and $\xi(t)$ dilatation. The wave function of the ground state is real and we have $\phi^{(0)} = 0$. The probability density is given by

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \frac{1}{\pi\xi^3(t)} \exp\left(-\frac{2|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_0(t)|}{\xi(t)}\right). \tag{33}$$

We first consider the case with t-independent ξ. Then, the phase ϕ becomes a function of $\phi(r(t))$ where $r(t) = |\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_0(t)|$. Solving the continuity equation, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r(t)} = m\dot{r}(t)\left(1 + \frac{\xi}{r(t)} + \frac{\xi^2}{2r^2(t)}\right), \tag{34}$$

where we take the reference point $\boldsymbol{r}^*$ to be infinity. Correspondingly, the potential is

$$V = m\ddot{r}(t)\left(r(t) + \xi\ln r(t) - \frac{\xi^2}{2r(t)}\right) - \frac{m\dot{r}^2(t)}{2}\frac{\xi^2}{r^2(t)}\left(1+\frac{\xi}{2r(t)}\right)^2. \tag{35}$$

This result can be understood qualitatively. We need a strong attraction at $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{r}_0(t)$ to avoid deviation from the trapped state in a moving potential.

In the case of $\boldsymbol{r}_0(t) = 0$, ϕ is a function of $z(r,t) = r/\xi(t)$ and t. We obtain

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} = -m\xi\dot{\xi}\left(z - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4z}\right), \tag{36}$$

and

$$V = -\frac{m\xi\ddot{\xi}}{2}\left(z^2 - z - \frac{1}{2}\ln z\right) - \frac{m\dot{\xi}^2}{2}\left(-z + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\ln z + \frac{1}{4z} + \frac{1}{16z^2}\right). \tag{37}$$

These results show that the counterdiabatic potential depends on the form of the ground-state wave function and takes a complicated form. There is no useful property in three-dimensional systems as we found in the one-dimensional systems.

IV. FINITE HILBERT SPACE

We apply the unitary deformation to systems in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space.

A. General discussions

We consider an N-dimensional system. The adiabatic Hamiltonian is given by Eq. (3). The corresponding adiabatic state is written as

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \exp\left[-i\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t'\ (E_n(t') - i\langle n(t')|\dot{n}(t')\rangle)\right]|n(t)\rangle. \tag{38}$$

We consider the unitary transformation

$$\hat{U}_n(t) = \exp\left(-i\hat{\phi}^{(n)}(t)\right), \tag{39}$$

where $\hat{\phi}^{(n)}(t)$ is a diagonal operator in some basis written as

$$\hat{\phi}^{(n)}(t) = \mathrm{diag}(\phi_1^{(n)}, \phi_2^{(n)}, \dots, \phi_N^{(n)}). \tag{40}$$

Our goal is to find the potential in a diagonal form:

$$\hat{V}_n(t) = \mathrm{diag}(v_1^{(n)}, v_2^{(n)}, \dots, v_N^{(n)}). \tag{41}$$

The unitary-transformed state satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\tilde{\psi}_n(t)\rangle = \left(\hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}(t) + \hat{V}_n(t)\right)|\tilde{\psi}_n(t)\rangle, \tag{42}$$

where $|\tilde{\psi}_n(t)\rangle = \hat{U}_n(t)|\psi_n(t)\rangle$. This condition gives

$$\sum_{a=1}^N(\dot{\phi}_a^{(n)} - v_a^{(n)})\hat{X}_a|n\rangle + i(1-|n\rangle\langle n|)|\dot{n}\rangle + (E_n - \mathrm{e}^{i\hat{\phi}^{(n)}}\hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}\mathrm{e}^{-i\hat{\phi}^{(n)}})|n\rangle = 0. \tag{43}$$

We consider the case where the adiabatic Hamiltonian is a real symmetric matrix in the present representation. Then, the eigenstate can be represented by a real vector and we can decompose the above equation into the real and imaginary parts as we did in the previous section. We obtain

$$(\dot{\phi}_a^{(n)} - v_a^{(n)} + E_n)\langle a|n\rangle - \sum_{b=1}^N\langle a|\hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}|b\rangle\cos(\phi_a^{(n)} - \phi_b^{(n)})\langle b|n\rangle = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\langle a|\dot{n}\rangle - \sum_{b=1}^N\langle a|\hat{H}_{\mathrm{ad}}|b\rangle\sin(\phi_a^{(n)} - \phi_b^{(n)})\langle b|n\rangle = 0, \tag{45}$$

where $\langle a|n\rangle$ denotes the ath component of the vector $|n\rangle$ and $\langle a|\hat{H}|b\rangle$ denotes the (a,b) component of the matrix $\hat{H}$. The label a (and b) takes an integer between 1 and N. We note that $\langle n|\dot{n}\rangle = 0$. These equations represent the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the continuity equation, respectively. Since we consider discrete systems, the equations are not written by differential ones. We can obtain the phase $\phi_a^{(n)}$ from the second equation and the potential $v_a^{(n)}$ from the first.

Before considering examples, we study the state dependence of the potential. We assume that $\phi_a^{(n)}$ and $v_a^{(n)}$ are independent of n. Then, using the completeness relation, we obtain

$$(\dot{\phi}_a - v_a)\delta_{ab} + \langle a|\hat{H}_{\rm ad}|b\rangle(1-\cos(\phi_a-\phi_b)) = 0, \quad (46)$$

$$-i\langle a|\hat{H}_{\rm cd}(t)|b\rangle - \langle a|\hat{H}_{\rm ad}|b\rangle \sin(\phi_a-\phi_b) = 0, \quad (47)$$

where the counterdiabatic Hamiltonian is written as

$$\hat{H}_{\rm cd} = i\sum_n (1-|n\rangle\langle n|)\,|\dot{n}\rangle\langle n| = i\sum_n |\dot{n}\rangle\langle n|. \quad (48)$$

These equations give $v_a = \dot{\phi}_a$ and $\langle a|\hat{H}_{\rm cd}|b\rangle = 0$. Thus, the present assumption only describes a trivial situation where the counterdiabatic Hamiltonian is zero. We conclude that the potential $\hat{V}_n(t)$ crucially depends on the state n. However, we can expect that the dependence appears only at the irrelevant energy shift for simple systems. We study this property in the following simple example.

B. Two-level systems

As an example, we study the two-level systems described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) = \frac{h(t)}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta(t) & \sin\theta(t) \\ \sin\theta(t) & -\cos\theta(t)\end{pmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

The eigenvalues of $\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t)$ are given by $\pm h(t)/2$. Each adiabatic state is given, respectively, by

$$|\psi_1(t)\rangle = {\rm e}^{-i\int_0^t {\rm d}t'\, h(t')/2}\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta(t)}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\theta(t)}{2}\end{pmatrix}, \quad (50)$$

$$|\psi_2(t)\rangle = {\rm e}^{i\int_0^t {\rm d}t'\, h(t')/2}\begin{pmatrix} -\sin\frac{\theta(t)}{2} \\ \cos\frac{\theta(t)}{2}\end{pmatrix}. \quad (51)$$

Equation (47) is written as

$$\dot{\theta} + h\sin\theta\sin(\phi_1^{(n)} - \phi_2^{(n)}) = 0, \quad (52)$$

which means that the difference of the phases $\phi_1^{(n)} - \phi_2^{(n)}$ is independent of n. The same is true for the potential and we have from Eq. (46)

$$v_1^{(n)} - v_2^{(n)} = \dot{\phi}_1^{(n)} - \dot{\phi}_2^{(n)} - h(1-\cos(\phi_1^{(n)} - \phi_2^{(n)}))\cos\theta. \quad (53)$$

We obtain the form of the potential

$$\hat{V}_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}v(t)\hat{\sigma}^z + \frac{1}{2}(v_1^{(n)}(t) + v_2^{(n)}(t)), \quad (54)$$

where $v(t) = v_1^{(n)}(t) - v_2^{(n)}(t)$. By applying this potential, we can realize the time evolution of the state along an adiabatic passage. In the two-dimensional case, the state dependence of the potential only appears in the energy shift.

We note that the adiabatic passage is different from the original one. The Bloch vector of the unitary-transformed state is not in the zx plane. The initial and final states of $|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle$ should be the same as those of the original state $|\psi(t)\rangle$. We demand that the potential goes to zero at initial and final times. This is achieved when $\phi_1 - \phi_2 = 0$ and $\dot{\phi}_1 - \dot{\phi}_2 = 0$ at those times. From the condition (52), we obtain $\dot{\theta} = 0$ and $\ddot{\theta} = 0$, which means that the potential should be turned on and off very slowly. It would be interesting to understand the relation with the analysis of Ref. [29] where the same condition is obtained for improving the adiabatic approximation.

As a simple example, we consider

$$\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{h}(t)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{2}h(t)\boldsymbol{n}(t)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad (55)$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma \\ 0 \\ h_z(t)\end{pmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

$\theta(t)$ is represented as

$$\cos\theta(t) = \frac{h_z(t)}{h(t)}, \quad (57)$$

where $h(t) = \sqrt{\Gamma^2 + h_z^2(t)}$. The Bloch vector $\boldsymbol{n}(t)$ is parametrized as

$$\boldsymbol{n}(t) = (\sin\theta(t), 0, \cos\theta(t)). \quad (58)$$

We impose the condition $\theta(0) = \pi/2$ and $\theta(\infty) = 0$, which means that the Bloch vector moves from $(1,0,0)$ to $(0,0,1)$ in the zx plane.

In the unitary-deformed evolution, the Bloch vector is not in the plane. Equation (52) is written as

$$\sin(\phi_1(t) - \phi_2(t)) = \frac{\dot{h}_z(t)}{h^2(t)}. \quad (59)$$

To satisfy the condition that $\phi_1 - \phi_2$ and $\dot{\phi}_1 - \dot{\phi}_2$ go to zero at $t = 0$ and ∞, we take the magnetic field in z direction as

$$h_z(t) = ct^3, \quad (60)$$

where c is a constant. The potential $v(t)$ obtained from Eq. (53) is plotted in Fig. 1. For comparison, we plot the potential v and the counterdiabatic field $h_y = \dot{\theta}$ in Fig. 2. We note that the counterdiabatic field is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\rm cd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{n}(t)\times\dot{\boldsymbol{n}}(t))\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\theta}(t)\sigma_y. \quad (61)$$



FIG. 1. Potential $v(t) = v_1(t) - v_2(t)$ in Eq. (53). The phase difference $\phi_1 - \phi_2$ is determined from Eqs. (59) and (60).



FIG. 2. $v(t)$ at $c = 1$ and $\Gamma = 2$. $h_y = \dot{\theta}$ represents the counterdiabatic field in Eq. (61).

To see that the adiabatic passage of the unitary-deformed state is different from that of the original state, we plot the original Bloch vector $\boldsymbol{n}(t)$ and the vector after the deformation

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(t) = (\sin\theta(t)\cos\phi(t), \sin\theta(t)\sin\phi(t), \cos\theta(t)), \quad (62)$$

where $\phi(t) = \phi_1(t) - \phi_2(t)$. They are plotted in Fig. 3.

C. Choice of the unitary transformation

Up to this point, we used diagonal operators for the unitary transformation as we see in Eq. (40). This choice is not necessary and we can consider a different type of the unitary transformation. In two-level systems, the most general form of the unitary transformation reads

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left(-i\phi_0(t) - i\phi(t)\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}(t)\right), \quad (63)$$



FIG. 3. Bloch vectors $\boldsymbol{n}(t)$ before the deformation (dashed line) and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(t)$ after the deformation (bold). $\boldsymbol{n}(0) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(0) = (1,0,0)$ and $\boldsymbol{n}(\infty) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\infty) = (0,0,1)$. We set $c = 1$ and $\Gamma = 2$.

where $\boldsymbol{n}(t)$ represents a unit vector. If we take for example

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\varphi \\ 0 \\ \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (64)$$

where φ is independent of t, we obtain

$$\dot{\theta} = [v\sin\varphi - h\sin(\theta-\varphi)]\sin 2\phi, \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{v}{2}\left[(\cos^2\phi + \sin^2\phi\cos 2\varphi)\sin\theta - \sin^2\phi\sin 2\varphi\cos\theta\right]$$
$$= \dot{\phi}\sin(\theta-\varphi) - \frac{h}{2}\sin 2(\theta-\varphi)\sin^2\phi. \quad (66)$$

For a given φ, ϕ and v are obtained by solving these equations. We note that the result at $\varphi = 0$ corresponds to that in the previous subsection. A different choice of φ gives a different adiabatic passage and the control potential is changed accordingly.

Thus, we can consider many possible forms of the unitary transformation. In the present simple example, this is an unnecessary prescription but in principle this can be useful when the standard deformation does not work.

V. QUANTUM BRACHISTOCHRONE EQUATION

In the previous section, we have formulated the unitary deformation of the counterdiabatic driving. Here we formulate the problem using the quantum brachistochrone equation. This formulation allows us to understand what quantity characterizes the deformed system.

A. Formulation of the problem

We consider the Schrödinger equation in Eq. (1) with $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) + \hat{H}_{\rm cd}(t)$. It is deformed as

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = (\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t) + \hat{V}(t))|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle. \tag{67}$$

The potential $\hat{V}(t)$ is diagonal in a specific basis. In the N-dimensional Hilbert space, the number of independent traceless diagonal operators are $N-1$. Their basis operators commute with each other:

$$[\hat{X}_a, \hat{X}_b] = 0 \quad (a, b = 1, 2, \dots, N-1). \tag{68}$$

They satisfy the orthonormal relations

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{X}_a \hat{X}_b = \delta_{ab}. \tag{69}$$

Using these operators, we can generally write

$$\hat{V}(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} v_a(t)\hat{X}_a, \tag{70}$$

where

$$v_a(t) = \mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{V}(t)\hat{X}_a. \tag{71}$$

The original state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is changed by the unitary deformation to a deformed state $|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle$. They are related by the constraints

$$|\langle\sigma|\psi(t)\rangle| = |\langle\sigma|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle|, \tag{72}$$

where $|\sigma\rangle$ with $\sigma = 1, 2, \dots, N$ represents a diagonal basis. This condition implies that the deformed state is written as

$$|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle, \tag{73}$$

where the unitary operator is written in terms of diagonal operators

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left(-i\phi_0(t) - i\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\phi_a(t)\hat{X}_a\right). \tag{74}$$

Using this setting we consider the optimization of the potential.

B. Action

The optimization problem is formulated by defining the action to be minimized. It consists of four parts:

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}t\, (L_{\rm T} + L_{\rm S} + L_{\rm C1} + L_{\rm C2}). \tag{75}$$

The first term represents the time duration [6]

$$L_{\rm T} = \sqrt{\frac{\langle\dot{\tilde{\psi}}|(1 - |\tilde{\psi}\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}|)|\dot{\tilde{\psi}}\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\psi}|(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})^2|\tilde{\psi}\rangle - \langle\tilde{\psi}|\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V}|\tilde{\psi}\rangle^2}}, \tag{76}$$

which means the Fubini-Study distance divided by the velocity based on the Anandan-Aharonov relation. The other terms represent constraints. The second term is for the Schrödinger equation:

$$L_{\rm S} = \langle\phi|\left(i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} - (\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})\right)|\tilde{\psi}\rangle + (\text{h.c.}), \tag{77}$$

where $|\phi\rangle = |\phi(t)\rangle$ plays the role of a multiplier function. The third term denotes constraints for the potential:

$$L_{\rm C1} = \lambda_0 \mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{V} + \sum_{b=1}^{N^2-N} \lambda_b \mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{V}\hat{Y}_b. \tag{78}$$

where $\{\hat{Y}_b\}_{b=1,\dots,N^2-N}$ are traceless off-diagonal operators. They are the complements of diagonal operators $\{\hat{X}_a\}_{a=1,\dots,N-1}$ and satisfy

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{X}_a\hat{Y}_b = 0, \tag{79}$$

for arbitrary a and b. $L_{\rm C1}$ makes the trace and the off-diagonal elements of the potential zero. The last term of the action represents constraints for the state $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$. We write

$$L_{\rm C2} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} \lambda_\sigma\Big(|\langle\sigma|\tilde{\psi}\rangle|^2 - |\langle\sigma|\psi\rangle|^2\Big). \tag{80}$$

We note that the last term $L_{\rm C2}$ is not present in the original formulation [6]. The constraint for the state has not been studied before.

C. Quantum brachistochrone equation

Now that the action is defined, we can derive the quantum brachistochrone equation from the extremized condition. The extremization is performed on the potential and the state. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\delta S}{\delta\langle\tilde{\psi}|} \\ &= i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[\frac{\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V} - \langle\tilde{\psi}|(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})|\tilde{\psi}\rangle}{2\Delta E^2}\right]|\tilde{\psi}\rangle \\ &\quad + \left[i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} - (\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})\right]|\phi\rangle + \sum_\sigma \lambda_\sigma|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|\tilde{\psi}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\delta S}{\delta\hat{V}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\Delta E^2}\Big[(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})\hat{P} + \hat{P}(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V}) \\ &\quad -2\langle\tilde{\psi}|(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\hat{P}\Big] - \Big(|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\langle\phi| + |\phi\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}|\Big) + F. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

We use the following notations:

$$\hat{P} = |\tilde{\psi}\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}|, \tag{83}$$

$$\Delta E^2 = \langle\tilde{\psi}|(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})^2|\tilde{\psi}\rangle - \langle\tilde{\psi}|(\hat{H}_{\rm ad} + \hat{V})|\tilde{\psi}\rangle^2, \tag{84}$$

$$\hat{F} = \sum_{b=0}^{N^2-N} \lambda_b\hat{Y}_b. \tag{85}$$

These are time-dependent functions.

Equation (81) is for $|\phi\rangle$ and is written as

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\phi\rangle = (\hat{H}_{\rm ad}+\hat{V})|\phi\rangle - i\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{D}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\tilde{\psi}\rangle - \sum_\sigma \lambda_\sigma|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|\tilde{\psi}\rangle, \tag{86}$$

$$\hat{D} = \frac{\hat{H}_{\rm ad}+\hat{V} - \langle\tilde{\psi}|(\hat{H}_{\rm ad}+\hat{V})|\tilde{\psi}\rangle}{2\Delta E^2}. \tag{87}$$

The solution is written in the form

$$|\phi(t)\rangle = \hat{T}(t)\Big[|\phi(0)\rangle - (\hat{T}^\dagger(t)\hat{D}(t)\hat{T}(t) - \hat{D}(0))|\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle + i\sum_\sigma\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t'\,\lambda_\sigma(t')\hat{T}^\dagger(t')|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|\hat{T}(t')|\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle\Big], \tag{88}$$

where $\hat{T}(t)$ is the time-evolution operator of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t)+\hat{V}(t)$ with the initial condition $\hat{T}(0)=1$. Inserting this expression into the second equation (82), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}(t) &= |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle\langle\phi(t)| + |\phi(t)\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}(t)| \\ &\quad +\hat{D}(t)|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}(t)| + |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}(t)|\hat{D}(t) \\ &= \hat{T}(t)\Big\{|\tilde{\phi}(0)\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}(0)| + |\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle\langle\tilde{\phi}(0)| \\ &\quad +i\left[\hat{Z}(t),\hat{P}(0)\right]\Big\}\hat{T}^\dagger(t),\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

where

$$|\tilde{\phi}(0)\rangle = |\phi(0)\rangle + \hat{D}(0)|\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle, \tag{90}$$

$$\hat{Z}(t) = \sum_\sigma\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t'\,\lambda_\sigma(t')\hat{T}^\dagger(t')|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|\hat{T}(t'). \tag{91}$$

The operator $\hat{F}(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{F}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t)+\hat{V}(t),\hat{F}(t)\right] - \sum_\sigma\lambda_\sigma(t)\left[|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|,\hat{P}(t)\right]. \tag{92}$$

If the second term of the right-hand side is absent, this equation indicates that $\hat{F}(t)$ is a dynamical invariant quantity [30]. The presence of the state-constraint term changes this standard interpretation.

At $t=0$, $\hat{F}$ is written as

$$\hat{F}(0) = |\tilde{\phi}(0)\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}(0)| + |\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle\langle\tilde{\phi}(0)|, \tag{93}$$

where $|\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle$ is an arbitrary vector. We assume that the initial state is one of the eigenstates of $\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(0)$ and the potential is absent at $t=0$. Thus, we choose

$$\hat{F}(0) = |\tilde{\psi}(0)\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}(0)|. \tag{94}$$

Then,

$$\hat{F}(t) = \hat{P}(t) + i\hat{T}(t)[\hat{Z}(t),\hat{P}(0)]\hat{T}^\dagger(t). \tag{95}$$

This equation shows that the operator defined as

$$\hat{I}(t) = \hat{F}(t) - i\hat{T}(t)[\hat{Z}(t),\hat{P}(0)]\hat{T}^\dagger(t) \tag{96}$$

represents the dynamical invariant in the unitary-deformed evolution. This operator satisfies the equation for the invariant

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{I}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t)+\hat{V}(t),\hat{I}(t)\right]. \tag{97}$$

The quantum brachistochrone equation shows that the unitary-deformed system is characterized by a dynamical invariant. It is different from the invariant of the original state before the deformation. The formulation using the invariant was done in Ref. [20] for scale-invariant systems. Our result implies that the method of the unitary deformation is equivalent with the invariant-based method and can be applied to general systems.

D. Two-level systems

As an example, we consider the two-level system treated in Sec. IV B. The adiabatic Hamiltonian is given in Eq. (49) and we consider one of the adiabatic states in Eq. (50). The constraints for the Hamiltonian are represented as

$$\hat{F}(t) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_3(t)\sigma^z + \lambda_1(t)\sigma^x, \tag{98}$$

In the counterdiabatic driving, this operator represents the invariant as

$$\hat{F}(t) = |\psi_1(t)\rangle\langle\psi_1(t)| = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}1+\cos\theta(t) & \sin\theta(t)\\ \sin\theta(t) & 1-\cos\theta(t)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{99}$$

and the counterdiabatic field is given by Eq. (61). In the presence of the additional constraint for the state (80), the equation for $\hat{F}(t)$ is written as

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{F}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{H}_{\rm ad}(t)+\hat{V}(t),\hat{F}(t)\right] - \frac{\lambda_z(t)}{2}\left[\sigma^z,\hat{P}(t)\right]. \tag{100}$$

The last term affects the σ^x and σ^y terms in $\hat{F}(t)$ and changes the optimal path as we see in Fig. 3. The invariant in Eq. (96) is given by

$$\hat{I}(t) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_3(t)\sigma_z + \Big(\lambda_1(t)+\tilde{\lambda}_z(t)\sin\phi(t)\Big)\sigma^x + \tilde{\lambda}_z(t)\cos\phi(t)\sigma^y, \tag{101}$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_z(t) = \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t\,\lambda_z(t')\sin\theta(t'). \tag{102}$$

The coefficient $\tilde{\lambda}_z(t)$ depends on the history of the time evolution, which means that the optimized path cannot

be determined from the geometry of the original adiabatic state. Imposing the condition that Eq. (101) represents the invariant, we can find the explicit form of the potential $\hat{V}(t)$. We do not explicitly perform such a calculation here since it is not a convenient method for finding the potential. The present formulation is conceptually important and will be useful to understand the method from a general principle.

VI. SUMMARY

We have formulated the method of unitary deformation. The counterdiabatic Hamiltonian which supports the adiabatic state evolution is represented by a local potential. The main results are presented in Eqs. (29) and (30) for potential systems and Eqs. (52) and (53) for discrete systems. The advantage of this method is that the counterdiabatic potential is constructed from the single adiabatic state. It is not necessary to know all eigenstates of the adiabatic Hamiltonian. The form of the potential is written immediately once we specify the adiabatic state. Instead of this property, the form of the potential is dependent on the state to accelerate. However, for several cases such as two-level systems and one-dimensional systems with the scale invariance, the dependence can be reduced to the irrelevant energy shift.

The potential is not unique in principle. For example, by keeping the last term in Eq. (21) or by extending the unitary transformation to a more general one, we can find a different form of the potential. Due to this arbitrariness, we have many possibilities to find a more convenient form of the potential.

From the analysis of the quantum brachistochrone equation, we find that our method can be formulated by using the dynamical invariant as was done in other acceleration methods [5]. Our formulation may not be useful to find the explicit form of the invariant. Rather, it is important to notice that we can formulate the methods in a unified way. We expect that the present analysis enhances the applicability of the method of shortcuts to adiabaticity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to S. Masuda for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI Grant No. 26400385.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Demirplak and S. A. Rice, J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 9937 (2003).
[2] M. Demirplak and S. A. Rice, J. Phys. Chem. B **109**, 6838 (2005).
[3] M. V. Berry, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 365303 (2009).
[4] X. Chen, A. Ruschhaupt, S. Schmidt, A. del Campo, D. Guéry-Odelin, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 063002 (2010).
[5] E. Torrontegui, S. Ibáñez, S. Martínez-Garaot, M. Modugno, A. del Campo, D. Guéry-Odelin, A. Ruschhaupt, X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **62**, 117 (2013).
[6] A. Carlini, A. Hosoya, T. Koike, and Y. Okudaira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060503 (2006).
[7] K. Takahashi, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 315304 (2013).
[8] J.-F. Schaff, X.-L. Song, P. Vignolo, and G. Labeyrie, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 033430 (2010).
[9] J.-F. Schaff, X.-L. Song, P. Capuzzi, P. Vignolo, and G. Labeyrie, Europhys. Lett. **93**, 23001 (2011).
[10] M. G. Bason, M. Viteau, N. Malossi, P. Huillery, E. Arimondo, D. Ciampini, R. Fazio, V. Giovannetti, R. Mannella, and O. Morsch, Nature Phys. **8**, 147 (2012).
[11] J. Zhang, J. H. Shim, I. Niemeyer, T. Taniguchi, T. Teraji, H. Abe, S. Onoda, T. Yamamoto, T. Ohshima, J. Isoya, and D. Suter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 240501 (2013).
[12] X. Chen, E. Torrontegui, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 062116 (2011).
[13] A. del Campo, M. M. Rams, and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 115703 (2012).
[14] T. Opatrný and K. Mølmer New J. Phys. **16**, 015025 (2014).
[15] S. Ibáñez, X. Chen, E. Torrontegui, J. G. Muga, and A. Ruschhaupt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 100403 (2012).
[16] S. Martínez-Garaot, E. Torrontegui, X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 053408 (2014).
[17] K. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 062117 (2013).
[18] A. del Campo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 100502 (2013).
[19] A. del Campo and M. G. Boshier, Sci. Rep. **2**, 648 (2012).
[20] S. Deffner, C. Jarzynski, and A. del Campo, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 021013 (2014).
[21] S. Masuda and K. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 062108 (2008).
[22] S. Masuda and K. Nakamura, Proc. R. Soc. A **466**, 1135 (2010).
[23] S. Masuda and K. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 043434 (2011).
[24] E. Torrontegui, S. Martínez-Garaot, A. Ruschhaupt, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 013601 (2012).
[25] S. Masuda and S. A. Rice, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 033621 (2014).
[26] K. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 042113 (2014).
[27] D. Guéry-Odelin and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 063425 (2014).
[28] H. R. Lewis and P. G. L. Leach, J. Math. Phys. **23**, 2371 (1982).
[29] S. Morita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 104001 (2007).

[30] H. R. Lewis and W. B. Riesenfeld, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458 (1969).